www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*		2. AGENT DET	AILS (if appli	cable)
Title	MR		-		
First Name					
Last Name	Bosomwoltz				
Job Title (where relevant to this representation)					
Organisation (where relevant to this representation)					
Address Line 1				274.47	
Line 2					
Line 3	Bolley in WHARE	DALE			
Line 4					
Post Code	L829				
Telephone Number					
Email Address			_		
Signature:		1/4.2	Date:	17	1/1/2016
3. Please let us kno	w if you wish to be notified	of the follow	ing:		
The publication of the Inspector's Report?		Yes		No	
The adoption of the Core Strategy?		Yes	/	No	
Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?		Yes		No	
		No of shed	ets / s submitted :	4	4

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

Effective

Fo	r Office Use only:
Date	
Ref	

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation. (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page) 4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate? Proposed Main Modification number: MM2 5. Do support or object the proposed main modification? Object Support 6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'? NOT SURG! Yes 7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'? No - 'unsound' Yes 8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to? Justified Positively prepared Consistent with National Planning

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is <u>not legally compliant or is</u> unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

Policy (the NPPF)

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT BORIEY IN WHARFEDALE SIR MENSTON SHOUD BE CLASSIFIED AS LOCAL GROWTH CENTRES. THE REVISED HOUSING TARGETS DO NOT REFLECT THE EXISTING PATTERN OF SETTLEMENTS IN WHARFEDALE. IT DOES NOT MEET OBTEXTIVELY ASSESSED DEVELOPMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS
IT IS UNKEASONABLE TO EXPECT BORLEY IN WHARFEDALE TO ABSOLD A 20% INCRASE IN NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT WASTOR INFRASTRUCTURE.

IMPLOVEMENTS, THIS LEVER OF DEVELOPMENT IS UNSUSTAINABLE.

www.bradford.gov.uk

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

BOTH BOLLOY IN WHARFEDALE & MENSTON SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS LOCAL SERVICE CENTRES, WITH THE HOUSING TARGET REDUCED TO 2000

 1000	~ : -	ına	 10000

99.00.00	the second second second	garmanian and	
Date:	17		2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:					
Date	-		••••••		
Ref					

Representation Form	!	
PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the	I - Please use a separate sheet for each repet web page)	presentation.
4. To which proposed main modification	n does this representation relate?	And the second s
Proposed Main Modification number:	MM 7	
5. Do support or object the proposed m	ain modification?	
Support	Object	
6. Do you consider the proposed main r	modification to be 'legally compliant'?	
Yes	NO NOT SURE?	
7. Do you consider the proposed main a	modification to be 'sound'?	
Yes	No – 'unsound'	
8. If you consider the proposed main m soundness your comments relate to Positively prepared	nodification to be 'unsound', please identify wild or a second of the se	nich test of
Effective	Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)	
unsound in light of the main modification in light of the modification in light of t	sider the proposed main modification is not legations proposed. Please be as precise as post main modification please use this box to set nould cover succinctly all the information, evidence tify the representation and the suggested change, sed main modification).	ssible. out your comments. and supporting
ALE ALCADY OVERCLOWD IMPROVEMENTS. TRAINS COMMUTER TIMES & THE POINTS ON ROADS, THIS	TRANSPORT CORRIDORS MENTINGO, WITH NO FRANCED INFRA TO LEEPS RON AT CAPACITY CEL ALE FREQUENT DELAYS B IS AT ODDS WITH THE STAT WHARFEDALE & MENSTON 'A RACES TO LIVE, WORK & INI	STRUCTURE AT PEAK AND PACH TO OBJETTIVE CEBSIBLE,

www.bradford.gov.uk

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

BOTH BORIEY IN WHAPFEDAGE AND MENSTON SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS LOCAL SERVICE CENTRES, WITH THE HOSING TARGET REDUCED TO 200.

a	A	0			4	-	
- 8	1.	ಾ	10	na	Lu	re	

Date: 17/1/2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.